## Question

Show from the definition of open set in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ that if $A$ and $B$ are open sets then $A \cap B$ and $A \cup B$ are open sets. Show the same results hold with open replaced by closed. Deduce that the intersection and union of a finite collection of open (closed) sets is open (closed). Show from the definition that the union of an infinite collection of open sets is necessarily open. By considering the open intervals $\left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ in $\mathbf{R}$ or otherwise, show that the intersection of an infinite collection of open sets need not be open. Show that the intersection of an infinite collection of closed sets is necessarily closed, and give an example of an infinite collection of closed sets whose union is not closed.
Answer
$A, B$ open: Let $p \in A \cap B$.
Since $A, B$ are open there exist $\alpha, \beta>0$ such that $B_{\alpha}(p) \subset A, B_{\beta}(p) \in B$.
Let $\epsilon=\min \{\alpha, \beta\}$ : Then $B_{\epsilon}(p) \subset A \cap B$. Thus $A \cap B$ open.
Let $q \in A \cup B$. If $q \in A$ there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $B_{\alpha}(q) \subset A \subseteq A \cup B$. If $q \in B$ there exists $\beta>0$ such that $B_{\beta}(q) \subset B \subseteq A \cup B$. Thus $A \cup B$ open.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A, B \text { closed } & \Leftrightarrow \Re^{n} \backslash A, \Re^{n} \backslash B \text { open (by definition) } \\
& \Rightarrow\left(\Re^{n} \backslash A\right) \cap\left(\Re^{n} \backslash B\right) \text { open by the above } \\
& \Rightarrow \Re^{n} \backslash(A \cup B) \text { open } \\
& \Rightarrow A \cap B \text { closed (by definition) } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise for $A \cap B$, using $\Re^{n} \backslash(A \cap B)=\left(\Re^{n} \backslash A\right) \cup\left(\Re^{n} \backslash B\right)$.
Let $\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of open sets, and suppose $p \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}=W$, say.
This means there is at least one $\lambda \in \operatorname{Lambda}$ with $p \in U_{\lambda}$. Since $U_{\lambda}$ is open there exists $\epsilon>0$ with $B_{\epsilon}(p) \subset U_{\lambda} \subseteq W$. Hence $W$ is open.
If $I_{n}=\left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ then $\bigcap_{n}=1^{\infty} I_{n}$ consists of $\{0\}$ only: this is not open in $\Re$.
If $\left\{C_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a collection of closed sets, then $\left\{\Re^{n} \backslash C_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a collection of open sets, with $\Re^{n} \backslash \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_{\lambda}=U_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(\Re^{n}-C_{\lambda}\right)$; then use above result.
If $J_{n}=\Re \backslash I_{n}=(-\infty,-f r a c 1 n] \cup\left[\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right)$ which is closed in $\Re$ then $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}=\Re^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ which is not closed in $\Re$.

