

MA181 INTRODUCTION TO STATISTICAL MODELLING  
CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

Suppose the random variable  $X$  follows a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and it is desired to estimate  $\mu$  from a random sample of observations. The best point estimator to use is, in many respects, the sample mean  $\bar{X}$ . However, a value  $\bar{x}$  given by this estimator carries with it no measure of its precision; a value of 13.6 from a sample of 10 values looks just like a value of 13.6 from a sample of 100, yet the latter is more precise, or reliable, than the former. One way to remedy this is to construct an interval around  $\bar{x}$ , the length of which reflects its reliability or, in other words, our confidence about its containing  $\mu$ .

The starting point for the construction of this interval is the distribution of  $\bar{X}$ , which is  $N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ , where  $n$  is the sample size. On standardising this result, we obtain

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \sim N(0, 1). \quad (1)$$

**Variance known** If the variance  $\sigma^2$  is known, the distribution of  $Z$  given at (1), i.e.  $N(0, 1)$ , can be used to construct the required interval. For a given probability  $\alpha$ , let  $c$  be the point such that  $\frac{\alpha}{2} = P(Z < -c) = P(Z > c)$ . Then, from (1), we have

$$1 - \alpha = P\left(-c \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq c\right) = P(\bar{X} - c\sigma/\sqrt{n} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + c\sigma/\sqrt{n}).$$

This is not a probability statement about the population mean  $\mu$ , which remains constant throughout, but about the interval  $[\bar{X} - c\sigma/\sqrt{n}, \bar{X} + c\sigma/\sqrt{n}]$ , which in repeated sampling contains  $\mu$  with probability  $1 - \alpha$ . For a single sample, with observed mean  $\bar{x}$ , the interval  $[\bar{x} - c\sigma/\sqrt{n}, \bar{x} + c\sigma/\sqrt{n}]$  is called a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  *confidence interval* for  $\mu$ . Our level of confidence springs from the fact that this interval is either one of the  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  of intervals that would contain  $\mu$  if we continued drawing samples of size  $n$ , or one of the  $100\alpha\%$  of intervals that would fail to contain it. The end-points of the interval,  $\bar{x} - c\sigma/\sqrt{n}$  and  $\bar{x} + c\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ , are known as the *confidence limits* to  $\mu$ , and the fraction  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  as the *confidence coefficient*.

As is common with confidence interval construction, a value of  $\alpha = 0.05$  is chosen for general use, leading to a 95% interval, while a value of

$\alpha = 0.01$ , which leads to a longer 99% interval, is chosen for increased confidence that the interval contains  $\mu$ . Occasionally  $\alpha = 0.1$  is used.

Note that a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu$  contains those values that would not be rejected by a two-sided test with significance level  $\alpha$ .

**Examples (i)** The height of an adult male is normally distributed with standard deviation 2.56 inches, and a random sample of 120 men yields a mean height of 68.21 inches. For a 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ , the mean height of men in the population from which the sample is drawn, we need to set  $c = 1.9600$ . Then the confidence limits are found to be

$$\bar{x} \mp c\sigma/\sqrt{n} = 68.21 \mp 1.9600(2.56)/\sqrt{120} = 68.21 \mp 0/46.$$

Hence the 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is  $[67.75, 68, 67]$ .

For a confidence coefficient of 99%,  $c = 2.5758$ , which leads to the interval  $[67.61, 68.81]$ .

Not surprisingly, the desire to be more confident about the interval's containing  $\mu$  results in an increase in its length.

**(ii)** The length of a confidence interval is equal to  $2c\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ , which does not depend on  $\bar{x}$ . Consequently, it is possible to determine the sample size required to obtain an interval with a maximum specified length. To take an example, if  $\sigma = 3$  and we desire a 90% confidence interval for  $\mu$  with length at most 1.3, then  $c = 1.6449$  and we need to satisfy the inequality

$$2c\sigma/\sqrt{n} = 2(1.6449)(3)/\sqrt{n} \leq 1.3,$$

which leads to  $n \geq 57.6$ . Since  $n$  must in practice be an integer, we need to take a sample of size at least 58.

**Variance unknown** More often than not, the variance  $\sigma^2$  of  $X$  is not known. This in no way nullifies the truth of the statement made in (1), but the random variable  $Z$  can no longer be used to construct an interval estimate of  $\mu$  since it depends on  $\sigma$ . The obvious solution to this problem is to replace  $\sigma$  by an estimate based on the sample. The estimate usually adopted is the sample standard deviation defined by

$$s = \left[ \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / (n - 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Replacing  $\sigma$  by  $s$  in (1) leads to the new statistic

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}. \quad (2)$$

In repeated sampling,  $T$  no longer follows a normal distribution, in view of its dependence not only on  $\bar{X}$  but also on  $s$ , which itself varies in value from one sample to another. The distribution of  $T$  was derived in 1908 by W.S.Gosset writing under the pseudonym Student. Consequently  $T$  is said to follow the Student  $t$  distribution (or  $t$  distribution for short). There is, however, not a single  $t$  distribution but a family of them, indexed by the number of degrees of freedom, which is the number of linearly independent components of  $s$ . The symbol  $t_{(\nu)}$  is used to denote the  $t$  distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom, and the distribution of  $T$  required in (2) is the  $t$  distribution with  $(n - 1)$  degrees of freedom, where  $n$  is the sample size.

The probability density function of  $t_{(\nu)}$  is similar in shape to that of the standard normal distribution in that it is symmetric about zero and bell-shaped. It is, however, somewhat “fatter” in the tails, and, since inferences about  $\mu$  depend on values from the tails of a distribution, it is important that the correct distribution is used, at least for small sample sizes. In fact, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of  $t_{(\nu)}$  converges to that of  $N(0, 1)$ .

A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu$  can be derived in a similar manner to before by finding, this time from the  $t$  distribution with  $(n - 1)$  degrees of freedom, the point  $c$  such that  $\frac{\alpha}{2} = P(T < -c) = P(T > c)$ . Then, from (2), we have

$$1 - \alpha = P\left(-c \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq c\right) = P(\bar{X} - cs/\sqrt{n} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + cs/\sqrt{n}).$$

For an observed sample, the interval  $[\bar{x} - c\sigma/\sqrt{n} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x} + c\sigma/\sqrt{n}]$  is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu$ . Note that its length,  $2cs/\sqrt{n}$ , is not a constant as before, but varies from sample to sample in view of its dependence on  $s$ .

**Example** In 1928, the LNER ran the locomotive Lemberg with an experimental boiler pressure of 220lb in five trial runs and measured the coal

consumption in lb per draw-bar horse-power hour. The results were as follows:

3.27, 3.17, 3.24, 2.92, 2.99.

Denoting the  $i$ th observation by  $x_i$ , we have,

$$n = 5, \sum x_i = 15.59 \text{ and } \sum x_i^2 = 48.7059,$$

so that  $\bar{x} = 15.59/5 = 3.118$  and  $s = (48.7059 - 15 \cdot 59^2/5)/4 = 0.02407$ . For a 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ , the mean coal consumption of the locomotive, we find, from the table of the  $t_{(4)}$  distribution, that  $c = 2.776$ . Hence the confidence limits are

$$\bar{x} \mp cs/\sqrt{n} = 3.118 \mp 2.776\sqrt{(0.0240/5)} = 3.118 \mp 0.193$$

and the 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is  $[2.925, 3.311]$ .