



#### Data Streams

COMP3211 Advanced Databases

Dr Nicholas Gibbins – nmg@ecs.soton.ac.uk



## From Databases to Data Streams

Traditional DBMS makes several assumptions:

- persistent data storage
- relatively static records
- (typically) no predefined notion of time
- complex one-off queries



### From Databases to Data Streams

Some applications have very different requirements:

- data arrives in real-time
- data is ordered (implicitly by arrival time or explicitly by timestamp)
- too much data to store!
- data never stops coming
- ongoing analysis of rapidly changing data



# Big Data – The Four Vs

#### Volume

• Amount of data

Variety

• Semi-structured, unstructured, schema-free

Veracity

• Untrusted, inaccurate

Velocity

• Speed of operation, rate of analysis



# Big Data - The Four Vs

#### Volume

• Amount of data

Variety

• Semi-structured, unstructured, schema-free

Veracity

• Untrusted, inaccurate

Velocity

• Speed of operation, rate of analysis



### Example Application: MIDAS





## Example Application: MIDAS





## **Application Domains**

- Network monitoring and traffic engineering
- Sensor networks, RFID tags
- Telecommunications call records
- Financial applications
- Web logs and click-streams
- Manufacturing processes



#### Data Streams

A (potentially unbounded) sequence of tuples

Transactional data streams: log interactions between entities

- Credit card: purchases by consumers from merchants
- Telecommunications: phone calls by callers to dialed parties
- Web: accesses by clients of resources at servers

Measurement data streams: monitor evolution of entity states

- Sensor networks: physical phenomena, road traffic
- IP network: traffic at router interfaces
- Earth climate: temperature, moisture at weather stations



# One-Time versus Continuous Queries

One-time queries

• Run once to completion over the current data set

Continuous queries

- Issued once and then continuously evaluated over a data stream
  - "Notify me when the temperature drops below X"
  - "Tell me when prices of stock Y > 300"



#### Database Management System





#### Data Stream Management System (DSMS)





# DBMS versus DSMS

#### DBMS

- Persistent relations (relatively static, stored)
- One-time queries
- Random access
- "Unbounded" disk store
- Only current state matters

#### DSMS

- Transient streams (on-line analysis)
- Continuous queries (CQs)
- Sequential access
- Bounded main memory
- Historical data is important



# DBMS versus DSMS

#### DBMS

- No real-time services
- Relatively low update rate
- Data at any granularity
- Assume precise data
- Access plan determined by query processor, physical DB design

#### DSMS

- Real-time requirements
- Possibly multi-GB arrival rate
- Data at fine granularity
- Data stale/imprecise
- Unpredictable/variable data arrival and characteristics



# A Motivation for Stream Processing

Over the past twenty-five years:

- CPU performance has increased by a factor of >1,000,000
- Typical RAM capacity increased by a factor of >1,000,000
- RAM access time has decreased by a factor of >50,000
- Typical HD capacity increased by a factor of >50,000
- HD access time has decreased by a factor of ~10



# Architectural Issues

#### DBMS

- Resource rich (memory, disk, computation)
- Extremely sophisticated query processing, analysis
- Useful to audit query results of data stream systems.
- Query Evaluation: Arbitrary
- Query Plan: Fixed.

#### DSMS

- Resource limited (memory, per-tuple computation)
- Reasonably complex, near real time, query processing
- Useful to identify what data to populate in database
- Query Evaluation: One pass
- Query Plan: Adaptive



# **Query Processing**



# Example: Continuous Query Language

Queries produce/refer to relations and streams

Based on SQL, with the addition of:

- Streams as new data type
- Continuous instead of one-time semantics
- Windows on streams (derived from SQL-99)
- Sampling on streams (basic)



# **Query Processing**

Construct query plan based on relational operators, as in an RDBMS

- Selection
- Projection
- Join
- Aggregation (group by)

Combine plans from continuous queries (reduce redundancy)

Stream tuples through the resulting network of operators



## Tuple-at-a-time Operators

Evaluation requires consideration of only one tuple at a time

• Selection and projection





## **Full Relation Operators**

Some full relation operators can work on a tuple at a time

- Count, sum, average, max, min (even with group by)
- (order by, however, can't)





## **Full Relation Operators**

Other (binary) full relation operators can't

- Intersection, difference, product, join
- (union, however, can be evaluated tuple-by-tuple)





## **Full Relation Operators**

May block when applied to streams

- no output until entire input seen, but streams are unbounded
- joins may need to join tuples that are arbitrarily far apart





# Relation/Stream Translation

Some relational operators can work directly on streams

• Selection, projection, union, some aggregates

Some relational operators need to work on relations

• Join, product, difference, intersection, other aggregates

Stream-to-relation operators

• Windows

Relation-to-stream operators

• Istream, Dstream, Rstream



### Windows

Mechanism for extracting a finite relation (synopsis) from an infinite stream

Various window proposals for restricting operator scope.

- Windows based on ordering attribute (e.g. last 5 minutes of tuples)
- Windows based on tuple counts (e.g. last 1000 tuples)
- Windows based on explicit markers (e.g. punctuations)
- Variants (e.g., partitioning tuples in a window)

Various window behaviours

• Sliding, tumbling



# Sliding Windows





# **Tumbling Windows**





## Join Evaluation

Consider a stream-based join operation:

- a conventional join over a pair of windows on the input streams
- outputs a stream of tuples joined from the input streams





# Scalability and Completeness

DBMS deals with finite relations

• query evaluation should produce all results for a given query

DSMS deals with unbounded data streams

- may not be possible to return all results for a given query
- trade-off between resource use and completeness of result set
- size of buffers used for windows is one example of a parameter that affects resource use and completeness
- can further reduce resource use by randomly sampling from streams



### Relation-to-Stream Operators

Insert Stream (Istream)

• Whenever a tuple is inserted into the relation, emit it on the stream

Delete Stream (Dstream)

• Whenever a tuple is deleted from the relation, emit it on the stream

Relation Stream (Rstream)

• At every time instant, emit every tuple in relation on the stream



SELECT Istream(\*)
FROM S [rows unbounded]
WHERE S.A > 10

S is converted into a relation (of unbounded size!) Resulting relation is converted back to a stream via Istream



SELECT \* FROM S WHERE S.A > 10

S is a stream - query plan involves only selection, so window is now unnecessary



```
SELECT *
FROM S1 [rows 1000],
        S2 [range 2 minutes]
WHERE S1.A = S2.A AND S1.A > 10
```

Windows specified on streams

- Tuple-based sliding window [rows 1000]
- Time-based sliding window [range 2 minutes]



SELECT Rstream(S.A, R.B)
FROM S [now], R
WHERE S.A = R.A

Query probes a stored table R based on each tuple in stream S and streams the result

• [now] - time-based sliding window containing tuples received in last time step



# Query Optimisation

Traditionally relation cardinalities used in query optimiser

• Minimize the size of intermediate results.

Problematic in a streaming environment

• All streams are unbounded = infinite size!



# **Query Optimisation**

Need novel optimisation objectives that are relevant when input sources are streams

- Stream rate based (e.g. NiagaraCQ)
- Resource-based (e.g. STREAM)
- Quality of service-based (e.g. Aurora)

Continuous adaptive optimisation



## Notable DSMS Projects

- Aurora, Borealis (Brown/MIT) sensor monitoring
- Niagara (OGI/Wisconsin) Internet XML databases
- OpenCQ (Georgia) triggers, incr. view maintenance
- STREAM (Stanford) general-purpose DSMS
- Telegraph (Berkeley) adaptive engine for sensors



# Stream Processing Frameworks

Open Source frameworks:

- Apache Flink
- Apache Kafka (developed by LinkedIn)
- Apache Storm (developed by Twitter)
- Apache Apex

Cloud-based frameworks

- AWS Kinesis
- Google Cloud Dataflow



# Further Reading

A. Arasu et al. STREAM: The Stanford Data Stream Management System, Technical Report, Stanford InfoLab, 2004.

A. Arasu, S. Babu and J. Widom. The CQL continuous query language: semantic foundations and query execution, The VLDB Journal, 15(2), 121-142, 2006.

M. Cherniack et al, Scalable Distributed Stream Processing, Proceedings of the First Biennial Conference on Innovative Data Systems Research (CIDR 2003), 2003.



#### That's all folks!