Use this evaluation for:

* Self or peer assessment on a late stage draft e.g. for the poster pitch
* Before the final submission

We will also use it for the final marking and feedback process

Mark scale: Needs Improvement 0-5; Satisfactory 6-10; Very Satisfactory 11-15; Outstanding 16-20

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria descriptor | Comments | NI 0-5 | S 6-10 | VS 11-15 | O 16-20 |
| **Presentation: (5%)** | | | | | |
| **Functional:**  Clear title, author details relevant references or links |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual: Appropriate choice of palates, diagrams and figures; balanced layout |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Textual:**  Readable, not crowded, consistent styling |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall comments on presentation:** | | | | | |
| **Content (5%)** | | | | | |
| **Focus:**  Clear account of the chosen topic and the rationale for analysing it from the two chosen perspectives |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure: A clear description of the models, methodologies and approaches of the two chosen disciplines, and an analysis of how this is of value. |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Illustrations:**  Appropriate and clear use of figures, tables or diagrams in relation to the presented argument |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall comments on presentation:** | | | | | |

**Any further comments:**