

# Maths 3018/6111 - Numerical Methods

## Worksheet 1 - Solutions

### Theory

1. Write down the 1, 2 and  $\infty$  vector norms of

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

We know that the 1-norm is the sum of the absolute values, so

$$|\mathbf{v}_1|_1 = 1 + 3 + 1 = 5,$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_2|_1 = 1 + 2 = 3,$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_3|_1 = 1 + 6 + 3 + 1 = 11.$$

The 2-norm is the square root of the sum of the squares, so

$$|\mathbf{v}_1|_2 = \sqrt{1^2 + 3^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{11} \approx 3.3166,$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_2|_2 = \sqrt{1^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{5} \approx 2.2361,$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_3|_2 = \sqrt{1^2 + 6^2 + 3^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{47} \approx 6.8557.$$

The infinity norm is the maximum absolute value, so

$$|\mathbf{v}_1|_\infty = 3$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_2|_\infty = 2$$

$$|\mathbf{v}_3|_\infty = 6.$$

---

2. Find the 1 and  $\infty$  matrix norms of

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

---

The 1-norm of a matrix is the maximum of the 1-norms of the column vectors. For  $A_1$  the 1-norms are 4 and 6 respectively. For  $A_2$  they are 6 and 8 respectively. So we have

$$\|A_1\|_1 = 6,$$

$$\|A_2\|_1 = 8.$$

The infinity norm of a matrix is the maximum of the 1-norms of the row vectors. For  $A_1$  the 1-norms are 3 and 7 respectively. For  $A_2$  they are 5 and 9 respectively. So we have

$$\|A_1\|_\infty = 7,$$

$$\|A_2\|_\infty = 9.$$

- 
3. Find the condition numbers of the above matrices. What does this suggest about the numerical behaviour of an algorithm that used such a matrix?
- 

The inverse matrices are

$$A_1^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2^{-1} = -\frac{1}{24} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -2 \\ -3 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that the matrix norms of the inverse matrices are

$$\|A_1^{-1}\|_1 = \frac{7}{2}, \quad \|A_2^{-1}\|_1 = \frac{3}{8},$$

$$\|A_1^{-1}\|_\infty = 3, \quad \|A_2^{-1}\|_\infty = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Therefore the condition numbers with respect to the 1-norm are

$$K(A_1) = \|A_1\|_1 \|A_1^{-1}\|_1$$

$$= 21,$$

$$K(A_2) = \|A_2\|_1 \|A_2^{-1}\|_1$$

$$= 3,$$

and the condition numbers with respect to the infinity norm are

$$K(A_1) = \|A_1\|_\infty \|A_1^{-1}\|_\infty$$

$$= 21,$$

$$K(A_2) = \|A_2\|_\infty \|A_2^{-1}\|_\infty$$

$$= 3.$$

In this case they are identical.

This suggests that if the numerical algorithm used the matrix  $A_1$  then the errors intrinsic in the algorithm would increase by a factor of order 10, whilst using  $A_2$  would increase them by a factor order unity. That is, we expect  $A_2$  to be better behaved than  $A_1$  (this is a rather woolly way of putting it).

---

4. Explain the difference between direct and indirect methods for solving linear systems. Give an example of when the latter may be more useful.
- 

*Standard exam question; see, e.g., 07/08.*

*Direct methods* consist of a finite list of transformations of the original matrix of the coefficients that reduce the linear systems to one that is easily solved. *Indirect or iterative methods*, consist of algorithms that specify a series of steps, possibly infinite, that lead closer and closer to the solution; there may not be a guarantee that they ever exactly reach it. This may not seem a very desirable feature until we remember that we cannot in any case perfectly represent an exact solution: most iterative methods provide us with a highly accurate solution in relatively few iterations.

Large, sparse matrices are ideally solved using iterative methods.

---