

A: Personal evaluation

	Effort scale of 1-5	Comment/notes
Leadership Planning	4	I organised the meeting and the stuff what we're going to do.
Researching resource	3	My presentation could be better but short of time.
Producing resource	3	Similar to the last one.
Producing Poster	4	I designed the final version of the poster.
General Admin	3.5	The general work can be improved a lot.

Other, specify

B: Observations:

1) What did you think your team did especially well?

Honestly, our team did not do especially well. But everyone did contribute resources and knowledge to the final work. And everyone spent quite a lot of time working on the individual work.

2) Where was your team weakest?

We could distribute the individual work better. For example, in the poster designing, we could discuss the layout together and reached a final agreement then break up into several parts. And the communication between members is not very well.

3) What did you (personally) do especially well?

I did spend some time on organising everyone getting together for group meeting and fought for a higher mark.

4) Where were you (personally) weakest?

I argued quite emotionally with one of our group member. So I was probably bad at express my ideas. Communication skills need to be improved.

5) If your team were to do the task again, what would you do differently?

As I said above, Distributing work well and spend more time on working together. By the mean time, make the resources more interesting.

6) If you were to do the task again, what would you do differently?

For myself, I would spend more time on organising the resources I found and integrate in a interesting way. For example, a funny video about web 3.0. What's more, learning some knowledge about designing a poster, which means using Photoshop properly.

C: Group Evaluation: Write a few lines explaining what degree

classification do you think your team's work is worth, offering evidence
First – (outstanding, excellent, very good) demonstrates excellent good knowledge and understanding. I would use it myself

Upper Second - (a solid product) demonstrates good knowledge but less understanding, I would use it but it needs more work

Lower Second - (close to the brief) some knowledge, but poor understanding, I might use it, but its not quite there.

Third – (adequate/bare pass) patchy, does not demonstrate much knowledge or understanding, It needs more work to be useful

I prefer Upper Second. The resources we found are quite interesting and educating. During the procedure of producing the resources, I learned quite a lot from it. So the resources are good quality. Poster looks fine but a bit boring. Because we were lack of photo processing skills.