

REAL ANALYSIS
FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

We denote $(x_1 \dots x_n)$ by X and $f(x_1 \dots x_n)$ by $f(X)$. We may think of X as a vector or a point.

If $A = (a_1 \dots a_n)$ $B = (b_1 \dots b_n)$ then

$$A - B = (a_1 - b_1 \dots a_n - b_n)$$

$$A + B = (a_1 + b_1 \dots a_n + b_n)$$

$$A \cdot B = a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n \text{ - a scalar}$$

$$\|A\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2} \text{ norm of } A$$

$$\|A - B\| = \sqrt{(a_1 - b_1)^2 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)^2} \text{ is the distance } AB$$

$$|A \cdot B| \leq \|A\| \|B\| \text{ Cauchy's inequality.}$$

Suppose we have m functions of n variables $(1) f(X), (2) f(X) \dots (m) F(X)$.

We shall denote by the vector function

$$F(X) = ((1) f(x_1 \dots x_n), \dots, (m) f(x_1 \dots x_n))$$

Theorem 1 If $f(X)$ $g(X)$ are continuous at A relative to S then so are $f(X) \pm g(X), f(X)g(X)$ and, if $g(A) \neq 0, \frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$.

Theorem 2 Suppose that the components $(1) f(X) \dots (m) f(X)$ of the vector function $F(X)$ are continuous at A relative to S . Let $B = F(A)$ and let T be the set of all points $F(X)$ with X in S . Then if $g(Y) = g(y_1 \dots y_m)$ is continuous at B relative to T , it follows that $g(F(x))$ is continuous at A relative to S .

Differentiability $f(X)$ is differentiable at $X=A \Leftrightarrow \exists$ a vector G $\left| \frac{f(X)-f(A)-G(X-A)}{\|X-A\|} \rightarrow 0 \right.$ as $X \rightarrow A$.

If f is differentiable then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ all exist and the vector G is $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)$.

We call this $(grad f(X))_{X=A}$ or $(\nabla f)_{X=A}$.

Thus $f(X)$ is differentiable $\Leftrightarrow \frac{\delta f - \nabla f \delta X}{\|\delta X\|} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta X \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 3 If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ are continuous at $X = A$, then $f(X)$ is differentiable at $X = A$.

Proof Suppose $H \neq 0$ and $\|H\|$ is sufficiently small. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| \sum_{r=1}^n \{f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_r + h_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{r-1} + h_{r-1}, a_r, \dots, a_n) - h_r f_r(A)\} \right| \\
& \text{(This is } \frac{1}{\|H\|} \{f(A+h) - f(A) - A \nabla f\}) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| \sum_{r=1}^n [\{f_r(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_{r-1} + h_{r-1}, a_r + \theta_r f_{r1} a_{r+1} - a_n) - f_r(A)\} h_r] \right| \\
& \quad 0 < \theta_r < 1
\end{aligned}$$

Let V be the vector with components

$$f_r(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_r \theta_f h_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n) - f_r A \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Each component can be made as small as we please, provided only that $\|H\|$ is sufficiently small since $f_r(X)$ is continuous at $X + A$. Hence we can make $\|V\| < \varepsilon$ if $\|H\|$ is sufficiently small. The above inequality then gives

$$\frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| f(A+h) - f(A) - \sum_{r=1}^n h_r f_r A \right| \leq \frac{|V \cdot H|}{\|H\|} \leq \|V\| < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 4 If $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ are both differentiable at $X + A$, then so are $f(X) \pm g(X)$, $f(X) \cdot g(X)$ and, provided $g(A) \neq 0$, $\frac{f(X)}{g(X)}$.

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
\nabla(f \pm g) &= \nabla f \pm \nabla g \\
\nabla(fg) &= f \nabla g + g \nabla f \\
\nabla \frac{f}{g} &= \frac{1}{g} \nabla f - \frac{f}{g^2} \nabla g
\end{aligned} \right\} \text{ at } X = A$$

Proof of (iii) Take $f \equiv 1$ and suppose $g(A) \neq 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| \frac{1}{g(A+h)} - \frac{1}{g(A)} - \left\{ \frac{\nabla g_A}{g^2(A)} \right\} \cdot H \right| \\
& = \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| \frac{g(A)\{g(A) - g(A+H) + \nabla g_A \cdot H\} + \{g(A+H) - g(A)\} \{\nabla g_A \cdot H\}}{g^2(A)g(A+H)} \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{g(A+h) - g(A) - \nabla g \cdot H}{\|H\|} \right| \frac{1}{|g(A)g(A+H)|} + \frac{|g(A+H) - g(A)|}{|g^2(A) \cdot g(A+H)|} \|\nabla g\|
\end{aligned}$$

using $|\nabla g.H|$
 $leq \|\nabla g\| \|H\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\|H\| \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 5 Function of a function rule.

Let $(1)f(X), (2)f(X), \dots (n)f(X)$ be differentiable at $X = A$. Let $g(Y) = g(y_1 \dots y_m)$ be differentiable at $Y = B$ where $B = F(A) = ((1)f(A) \dots (m)f(A))$.

Then $h(X) = g(F(x))$ is differentiable at $X + A$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ h_n(X) \end{pmatrix}_{X=A} = \begin{pmatrix} (1)f_1(X) \dots (m)f_1(X) \\ \vdots \\ (1)f_n(X) \dots (m)f_n(X) \end{pmatrix}_{X=A} \begin{pmatrix} g_1(Y) \\ \vdots \\ g_m(Y) \end{pmatrix}_{Y=B}$$

Proof Let RHS of the expression be D . Let $(g_1(Y) \dots g_m(Y))^T = G'$.

We have the following results

1. Since $f(X)$ is differentiable at $X + A$ $\frac{F(A+H)-f(A)}{\|H\|}$ is bounded for $0 < \|H\| < \delta$.

Thus, if each component of $F(X)$ is differentiable at $X = A$ $\frac{\|F(A+H)-F(A)\|}{\|H\|}$ is bounded in $0 < \|H\| < \delta$

2. Since $g(Y)$ is differentiable at $Y + B$

$$g(B + \Omega) = g(B) + G' \cdot \Omega = \varepsilon(\omega) \|\Omega\|$$

where $\varepsilon(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|\omega\| \rightarrow 0$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\|H\|} |g(F(A + H)) - g(F(A)) - D.H| \\ = & \frac{1}{\|H\|} |g(F(A + H)) - g(F(A)) - G' \cdot (F(A + H) - F(A)) \\ & + G' \cdot (F(A + H) - F(A)) - D.H| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{\|H\|} |g(B + \Omega) - g(B) - G' \cdot \Omega| + \frac{1}{\|H\|} |G' \cdot (F(A + H) - F(A)) - D.H| \end{aligned}$$

(writing $F(A) + B = F(A + H) - F(A) = \Omega$.)

First term = $\varepsilon(\Omega) \frac{\|\Omega\|}{\|H\|}$ by (2) where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\Omega \rightarrow 0$.

By (1) $\frac{\|\Omega\|}{\|H\|}$ is bounded for $0 < \|H\| < \delta$ also since $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ as $H \rightarrow 0$, $\varepsilon(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $H \rightarrow 0$.

Second term

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| \sum_{r=1}^m g_r(B) \left\{ {}^{(r)}f(A+H) - {}^{(r)}f(A) - H \cdot \nabla^r f(A) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^n |g_r(B)| \frac{1}{\|H\|} \left| {}^{(r)}f(A+H) - {}^{(r)}f(A) - H \cdot \nabla^r f(A) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $\|H\| \rightarrow 0$.

Hence $\frac{1}{\|H\|} |g(F(A+H)) - g(F(A) - D.H)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\|H\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence the result.

Corollary In The special case when $n = 1$ we get, when $h(x) = g(F(X))$ that

$$h'(x) = F'(a) \cdot (\nabla g)_B$$

Theorem 6 First Mean Value Theorem Suppose $d(X)$ is differentiable at all points of the open line segment $(A, A+H)$ and continuous on the closed segment. Then for some

$$g(A+H) - g(A) = H \cdot \nabla g(A + \theta H)$$

Proof Suppose $0 < t_0 < 1$. Then $h(t) = g(A+tH)$ is differentiable at $t = t_0$, since $g(X)$ is differentiable at $X = A + t_0H$ and so are $a_r + t_0h_r$ $r = 1, \dots, n$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} A + tH \right\} \cdot \nabla g(A + tH) \text{ at } t = t_0 \\ &= H \cdot \nabla g(A + tH) \text{ } t = t_0 \end{aligned}$$

And $h(t)$ is continuous for t in $[0,1]$ hence by MVT $h(1) - h(0) = h'(\theta)$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. Hence

$$g(A+H) - g(A) = H \cdot \nabla g(A + \theta H)$$

Theorem 7 Taylor's Theorem Suppose that the function $f(X)$ is such that all its partial derivatives of (total) order $u - 1$ are continuous on the closed line segment $[A, A + H]$, and differentiable on the open line segment $(A, A + H)$, then for some θ with $0 < \theta < 1$, we have

$$f(A + H) = \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{r!} \Omega^r f(X) \right\}_{X=A} + \left\{ \frac{1}{u!} \Omega^u f(X) \right\}_{X=A+\theta H}$$

$$\Omega = H \cdot \nabla = h_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \dots + h_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$$

Proof Write $h(t) = f(A + tH)$

By induction on r we have

$$\frac{d^r}{dt^r} h(t) = [\Omega^r f(X)]_{X=A+tH} \begin{cases} r = 0, 1, \dots, u-1 \\ 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ r = u \quad 0 < t < 1 \end{cases}$$

By Taylor's theorem for a function of one variable

$$h(1) = \sum_{r=0}^{u-1} \frac{1}{r!} h^{(r)}(0) + \frac{1}{u!} h^{(u)}(\theta) \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

Hence the result.

Maxima and Minima $f(X)$ has a strict maximum at $X = A$ means $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ in $0 < |X - A| < \varepsilon$ we have $f(A) > f(X)$.

By a weak minimum we mean that in $0 < |X - A| < \varepsilon$ $f(A) \geq f(X)$.

Theorem 8 Suppose $f(X)$ has a maximum or a minimum at $X = A$. If $f(X)$ has first order partial derivatives at $X = A$ then $(\nabla f)_A = 0$ i.e. $f_r(A) = 0$ $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $f(X)$ has second order derivatives continuous in a neighbourhood of A , then the quadratic form $\sum_{ij} h_i h_j f_{ij}(A)$ in $h_1 \dots h_n$ is negative or positive semi-definite.

Proof Consider $f(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n) = \phi(x_1)$. This, as a function of x_1 , has a maximum or minimum at $x_1 = a_1$ therefore by the theorem for a function of one variable $\phi'(x_1) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 0$. Similarly for other variables therefore $\nabla f_A = 0$.

Suppose that the quadratic form is not semi-definite. Then $\exists U = (u_1 \dots u_n)$ such that

$$\sum_{ij} f_{ij} u_i u_j > 0$$

and $V = (v_1 \dots v_n)$ such that

$$\sum_{ij} f_{ij} A v_i v_j < 0$$

Let $H^1 = (u_1 h \dots u_n h)$.

Using Taylor's Theorem

$$f(A + H@) = f(A) + \frac{h^2}{2!} \sum_{ij} f_{ij}(A + \theta^1 H^1) u_i u_j$$

The linear terms vanishing as $\nabla f = 0$

Let $H^2 = (v_1 h \dots v_n h)$

$$f(A + H^2) = f(A) + \frac{h^2}{2!} \sum_{ij} f_{ij}(A + \theta^1 H^2) v_i v_j$$

Since the second derivatives are continuous, $\exists \delta > 0$ for $0 < h < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} f(A + H^1) &= f(A) + (\phi^1)^2 \\ f(A + H^2) &= f(A) - (\phi^2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

We get neither a maximum nor a minimum since in any sphere of radius ε about A , we can choose h such that $|H^1| < \varepsilon$ $|H^2| < \varepsilon$.

item[Theorem 9] Suppose that $f(x)$ has second derivatives which are continuous in the neighbourhood of A . Suppose that $\nabla f_A = 0$ and that the quadratic form $\sum_{ij} h_i h_j f_{ij}(A)$ is negative/positive definite in $h_1 \dots h_n$. Then $f(X)$ has maximum/minimum at $X = A$.

Proof Given $\sum_{ij} f_{ij}(A) h_i h_j$ positive definite, and the second derivatives are continuous. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ for each X satisfying $|X - A| < \delta$ the quadratic form $\sum_{ij} f_{ij}(X) h_i h_j$ is also a positive definite form.

[Using the determinant test, as all the determinants are continuous functions of X .]

Using Taylor's Theorem,

$$f(X) = f(A) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I_j} f_{ij}(A + \theta H) h_i h_j > f(A)$$

since the quadratic form is positive definite at each point in the sphere.