Use this evaluation for:

* Self or peer assessment on a late stage draft e.g. for the poster pitch
* Before the final submission

We will also use it for the final marking and feedback process

Mark scale: Needs Improvement 0-5; Satisfactory 6-10; Very Satisfactory 11-15; Outstanding 16-20

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria descriptor | Comments | NI0-5 | S6-10 | VS11-15 | O16-20 |
| **Presentation: (5%)** |
| **Functional:** Clear title, author detailsrelevant references or links  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Visual:Appropriate choice of palates, diagrams and figures; balanced layout  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Textual:**Readable, not crowded, consistent styling |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall comments on presentation:** |
| **Content (5%)** |
| **Focus:**Clear account of the chosen topic and the rationale for analysing it from the two chosen perspectives  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure:A clear description of the models, methodologies and approaches of the two chosen disciplines, and an analysis of how this is of value. |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Illustrations:**Appropriate and clear use of figures, tables or diagrams in relation to the presented argument |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall comments on presentation:** |

**Any further comments:**