Peer review

Objective
The objective of a peer review is to be a critical friend
The clue to the role is in the words
Critical – here objective, analytical, looking with another pair of eyes
Friend – here constructive supportive, providing the feedback in a constructive and friendly manner
So...
As a peer reviewer you are trying to assist the person for whom you are providing a review

What you do
Make an arrangement to conduct a review. You can conduct this activity in pairs, or as a small college (three or four friends in a circle).
Read their work carefully
Reread the guidelines – and tell the person if you think they are answering the questions, objectively, providing sufficient evidence
The peer review is designed to help everyone improve your work and get a better mark

Advantages of a peer review
We often learn ourselves of changes we want to make to our own work as a result of seeing how someone else completed the task

I don’t want to have anyone look at my work
We understand that some people prefer to work individually, or do not want to discuss their work directly with another person.
If that is the case for you there are two options
1) decline to take part in the peer review, try to do the review yourself
2) send me an anonymous version of your work and I will find someone to conduct an anonymous review of the work.

You can choose to submit this task.
If you choose to use the peer review as your example task Any discussion and changes which have resulted are private, but you can submit a completed the template, on the next page.
You will explain in general terms how you went about the peer review and what the outcomes were for you as an individual.
Additional Task: Peer review
Edit this document to create your additional task submission
Details of the review remain private to those who conducted the review
Complete the template below this will be no longer than one page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Peer Review</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of People involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you organise the peer review?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you discuss the feedback and suggestions?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes made following review (bullet list)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What I learnt from completing the peer review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>